UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,187	10/25/2005	Eduardo Anitua Aldecoa	ANITUA4 6873	
	7590 06/09/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST		BUMGARNER, MELBA N		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-5303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/526,18	7	ANITUA ALDECOA, EDUARDO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Melba Bun	ngarner	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and wil tute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	March 2008 a	and 15 February 2008					
•		his action is no	•	•				
	·—			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	. Expans da	ayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 10					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
THE DATE OF DECISION IS Objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John FTO-192.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Recitation of "the connectors" in claim 1 lacks sufficient antecedent basis. In claims 3-5, 7 and 8, it is unclear what connector(s) is being limited since the first and second connectors are claimed in the alternative in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorenzi (2002/0094508) in view of Strong et al. (2002/0172923) and further in view of Danger (6,179,616). Lorenzi discloses a set of motor-driven instruments comprising osteotomes of progressive diameters, the osteotomes having an apical end, threaded conical section and a threaded cylindrical section, and an adjustment area (figure 1), two drills of different diameters comprising ends for connection to a surgical motor (figure 2), and connector for coupling to a dental drill or surgical hand wrench [0022]; however, Lorenzi does not show starter drill. Strong et al. teach a set of dental instruments comprising a starter drill [0011] that is smaller in section

Application/Control Number: 10/526,187

Art Unit: 3732

than the osteotomes comprising an end for connection to a surgical motor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a starter drill as in Strong et al. to make an initial hole to prepare the implant site in view of Strong et al. The starter drill [0013] of Strong et al. comprises a standard dental drill and do not show specific shape of the end of the drill. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the specific shape of the section end of a dental drill; furthermore, the section end is not shown to be critical to the claimed set. However, Danger is cited to teach a dental drill comprising a quadrangular-section end. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the quadrangular shape of the section end on the dental drill in order to have additional cutting edges or portions in view of Danger. Lorenzi shows a connector having an extension for connecting to a dental drill and a connector for connecting to a ratchet wrench [0022], figure 2 shows connector with shape different for connecting to drill and wrench.

Page 3

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lorenzi in view of Strong et al. and Danger and further in view of Lazzara et al. (6,290,499). The modified set of instruments shows the limitations as described above and Lorenzi discloses adjustment area comprising a polygonal-section projection; however, they do not show the cylindrical projection section. Lazzara et al. teach instrument for fixing dental implants comprising dental component having an end capped by a cylindrical projecting section creating a circular recess 132 in which an O-ring seal 168 is housed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to further modify the adjustment area to have the section of Lazzara et al. in order to secure components of the instruments. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a polygonal projection that is hexagonal instead of quadrilateral.

Art Unit: 3732

6. Claims 3, 7, and 8 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lorenzi in view of Strong et al., Danger, and Lazzara et al. and further in view of Misch et al. The modified set of instruments shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not show the connector comprising a blind axial recess. Misch et al. teach instrument for fixing dental implants comprising connector having an end comprising a blind axial recess with a polygonal section incorporating the o-ring seal (figure 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to further include the features of Misch et al. in the set of instruments in order to connect the working part of the instrument to a driving part. Misch et al. show embodiments of motor-driven and manual-driven connector ends comprising an extension or coupling area, the extension and coupling being of different shape.

Drawings

7. The drawings are objected to because figures on page 4/4 are not labeled. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR

Art Unit: 3732

1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As to arguments regarding Lorenzi reference, Lorenzi shows the limitation as claimed of the set of instruments comprising osteotomes of progressive diameters.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3732

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Melba Bumgarner/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732